

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
10	Petitioner,) 1:05-CV-258 REC DLB
11	vs.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: CONTEMPT
12	JEAN ANNETTE LIASCOS,) CONTEMPT
13	Respondent.))
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,))
15))
16	Petitioner,) 1:05-CV-285 REC DLB)
17	vs.)
18	LORNE McCAN,))
19	Respondent.))
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
20	Petitioner,) 1:05-CV-290 REC DLB
21	vs.))
22	V. STEVEN BOOTH and LOUISE Q.)
23	BOOTH,))
24	Respondents.	,)
25		, i
26		

Case 1:05-cv-00258-REC-DLB Document 34 Filed 07/15/05 Page 2 of 3

Upon the petition of McGregor W. Scott, United States

Attorney for the Eastern District of California, and the exhibits thereto,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that respondents JEAN ANNETTE LIASCOS, LORNE McCAN, V. STEVEN BOOTH, LOUISE Q. BOOTH and JOHN INNIS, JR., appear before United States District Judge Robert E. Coyle in Courtroom 1 of the United States Courthouse, 1130 "O" Street, Fresno, California, on August 22, 2005, at 1:30 p.m. and that respondents show cause as follows:

- 1. Why the respondents, JEAN ANNETTE LIASCOS, LORNE McCAN, V. STEVEN BOOTH, LOUISE Q. BOOTH and JOHN INNIS, JR., should not be held in civil contempt of this Court for their failure to comply with the "Orders Deeming Respondent's 'Notice of Appeal of Magistrate's Findigs [sic] and Recommendations [sic]' to be Objections to Findings and Recommendation; Enforcing IRS Summons and Directing Respondent to Appear on Friday, June 17, 2005 at [times certain] in Courtroom 5" ("Summons Enforcement Orders").
- 2. Why the respondents should not be incarcerated and ordered to pay a daily fine until they comply with the Summons

Case 1:05-cv-00258-REC-DLB Document 34 Filed 07/15/05 Page 3 of 3

Enforcement Orders, and ordered to pay a compensatory fine to the United States.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that on or before Monday, August 8, 2005 the respondents shall file and serve a written response to the "Petition Re: Civil Contempt of Orders filed May 23-25, 2005." Only those issues brought into controversy by the responsive papers and supported by declaration will be considered at the hearing on this Order, and any uncontested allegation in the Petition Re: Civil Contempt will be considered admitted.

Respondents are hereby notified that a failure to comply with this Order will subject respondents to possible further sanctions for contempt of Court.

The Clerk shall forward copies of this Order to the Magistrate Judge, the respondents and the United States Attorney. IT IS SO ORDERED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 15, 2005
668554
/s/ Robert E. Coyle
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE